

Largest Changes in Construction Employment by Metropolitan Area or Division, June 2023–June 2024
 (not seasonally adjusted)

Total 358 Areas: 215 (60%) with gains, 97 (27%) with losses, 46 unchanged. US total construction employment change: +2.9% (seasonally adjusted).

Most Jobs Added

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '23</u>	<u>June '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	Construction	232,300	244,600	12,300	5%	1 79
2 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	46,100	54,500	8,400	18%	2 2
3 Las Vegas-Henderson-Paradise, NV	Construction	81,700	89,900	8,200	10%	3 13
4 Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	164,800	171,700	6,900	4%	4 106
5 Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL Div.	Construction	56,500	62,200	5,700	10%	5 13
6 Northern Virginia, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	83,200	88,700	5,500	7%	6 39
7 Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	83,100	88,400	5,300	6%	7 57
8 Warren-Troy-Farmington Hills, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	62,400	66,700	4,300	7%	8 39
9 Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	83,700	87,600	3,900	5%	9 79
9 Indianapolis-Carmel-Anderson, IN	Construction	63,300	67,200	3,900	6%	9 57
9 Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	41,100	45,000	3,900	9%	9 19

Most Jobs Lost

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '23</u>	<u>June '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,500	138,400	-7,100	-5%	358 343
2 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	97,100	92,700	-4,400	-5%	357 343
3 Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	115,500	111,200	-4,300	-4%	356 328
4 Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro, OR-WA	Construction	82,500	79,500	-3,000	-4%	355 328
5 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson, MD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	81,200	78,500	-2,700	-3%	354 307
6 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	54,100	51,600	-2,500	-5%	353 343
7 Chicago-Naperville-Arlington Heights, IL Div.	Construction	143,000	140,700	-2,300	-2%	352 287
8 Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,700	30,700	-2,000	-6%	351 351
9 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,900	14,300	-1,600	-10%	350 357
10 San Francisco-Redwood City-South San Francisco, CA Div.	Construction	40,800	39,400	-1,400	-3%	348 307
10 Pittsburgh, PA	Construction	59,100	57,700	-1,400	-2%	348 287

Largest Percentage Gains

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '23</u>	<u>June '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Lawton, OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,500	1,900	400	27%	91 1
2 Baton Rouge, LA	Construction	46,100	54,500	8,400	18%	2 2
3 Anchorage, AK	Construction	12,200	14,300	2,100	17%	28 3
3 Danville, IL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	600	700	100	17%	166 3
5 Elizabethtown-Fort Knox, KY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900	3,300	400	14%	91 5
5 Saginaw, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,700	4,200	500	14%	81 5
7 Fairbanks, AK	Construction	3,100	3,500	400	13%	91 7
7 Urban Honolulu, HI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	27,600	31,300	3,700	13%	12 7
7 Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	26,500	29,900	3,400	13%	15 7
10 Lewiston, ID-WA	Construction	1,700	1,900	200	12%	130 10

Largest Percentage Losses

<u>Area¹</u>	<u>Industry²</u>	<u>June '23</u>	<u>June '24</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>Rank³ based on: gain/loss % change</u>
1 Duluth, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,600	9,400	-1,200	-11%	342 358
2 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	15,900	14,300	-1,600	-10%	350 357
3 Ithaca, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,200	1,100	-100	-8%	262 356
4 Silver Spring-Frederick-Rockville, MD Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	32,700	30,700	-2,000	-6%	351 351
4 Erie, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,800	4,500	-300	-6%	319 351
4 Gettysburg, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,700	-100	-6%	262 351
4 Longview, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,400	12,600	-800	-6%	337 351
4 Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,700	8,200	-500	-6%	328 351
9 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	Construction	54,100	51,600	-2,500	-5%	353 343
9 Pueblo, CO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,300	4,100	-200	-5%	303 343
9 Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	97,100	92,700	-4,400	-5%	357 343
9 Rochester, MN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,700	5,400	-300	-5%	319 343
9 New York City, NY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,500	138,400	-7,100	-5%	358 343
9 Bend-Redmond, OR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,300	7,900	-400	-5%	326 343
9 Johnstown, PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,800	-100	-5%	262 343
9 Memphis, TN-MS-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	25,900	24,700	-1,200	-5%	342 343

Footnotes:

¹Area: Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are designated by the Office of Management and Budget. MSAs generally cover one or more entire counties or county equivalents, or New England City and Town Area (NECTA) in the six New England states. There are 11 MSAs with more than 2,500,000 people. Employment in these MSAs is presented in two or more divisions (Div.) or subdivisions rather than for the MSA as a whole.

²Industry: The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³Rank: BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)